1	RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE One North Central Avenue, Suite 1200		
2	Phoenix, AZ 85004-4417 Telephone 602.440.4800 Fax 602.257.9582		
4	Lisa S. Wahlin (Bar No. 013979)		
5	lwahlin@rcalaw.com Attorneys for Defendants		
6	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRIC	CT OF ARIZONA	
8	Jennifer Marie Jones,	Case No. 2:12-cv-2629-PHX-LOA	
9	Plaintiff,		
10	V.	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE	
11	Town of Quartzsite; Jeffrey Gilbert and Sondra Gilbert, Husband and Wife; Officer		
12	Rick Paterson, #43; Officer Xavier Frausto,		
13	#15, and Terry Frausto, Husband and Wife; Denise Ann Florian; Individual Does I-X,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Pursuant to Rule 41(b), Fed. R. Civ. I	P., Defendants Town of Quartzsite, Gilbert,	
17	Paterson, Frausto, and Florian move to disn	niss Plaintiff's Complaint because Plaintiff	
18	has failed to prosecute her case, including f	ailing respond to a dispositive motion and	
19	failing to appear for her deposition, which wa	s rescheduled twice to accommodate her.	
20	Rule 41(b), Fed. R. Civ. P., provides	that a defendant may move for dismissal of	
21	an action when the plaintiff fails to comply w	with the rules or any order of court. Plaintiff	
22	has done both. Plaintiff filed her Complaint	on December 10, 2012, and has since done	
23	little to pursue her claims. First, Plaintiff	failed to comply with this Court's order	
24	requiring her to file a written election cons	enting to a magistrate judge or electing a	
25	district judge. (Docs. 3, 4). Next, Plaintiff fail	ed to file proof of service of the Complaint,	
26	causing this Court to issue a second order to	show cause. (Doc. 7). Because of Plaintiff's	
27	counsel's failure to file for pro hac vice adm	ission, the Court issued yet another order to	

28

3505178.1 03/12/14 show cause, and the scheduling conference was twice rescheduled. (Docs. 26, 29, 30, 35).

During the scheduling conference, the Court advised Plaintiff's counsel that the Complaint did not state a claim, at least as to certain defendants and claims, and strongly suggested to Plaintiff's counsel that he amend the Complaint to state a claim to avoid a dismissal. The Court allowed Plaintiff's counsel to choose the deadline for amending the Complaint. Plaintiff's counsel chose November 1, 2013 but Plaintiff never amended the Complaint.

On October 2, 2013, after conferring with Plaintiff's counsel, Defendants noticed Plaintiff's deposition for December 12, 2013. (Doc. 43). On December 10, counsel for Defendants emailed Plaintiff's counsel to confirm that Plaintiff would be appearing for her deposition. See December 10, 2013 email exchange, attached as Exhibit 1. Plaintiff's counsel indicated that he was planning to file a Motion to Withdraw and asked for the deposition to be rescheduled. See Exhibit 1. That same day, Defendants filed an amended notice of deposition, rescheduling Plaintiff's deposition for January 22, 2014. (Doc. 44).

On January 21, 2014, counsel for Defendants emailed Plaintiff's counsel, who still had not filed a Motion to Withdraw, to confirm Plaintiff's deposition scheduled for the next day. Plaintiff's counsel called Defendant's counsel, and then followed up with an email, canceling the deposition because Plaintiff's car was in disrepair. *See* January 21, 2014 email exchange, attached as Exhibit 2. On January 22, 2014, Defendants filed a notice rescheduling Plaintiff's deposition for March 3, 2014. (Doc. 47). Plaintiff failed to appear for her deposition on March 3, 2014. *See* Affidavit of Non-Appearance, attached as Ex. 3.

Additionally, Defendants filed a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings on January 14, 2014. (Doc. 45). The Court issued an order giving Plaintiff until February 18, 2014 to respond to the motion. (Doc. 46). Plaintiff failed to file a response.

¹ Defendant's counsel contacted Plaintiff's counsel because she had other cases with Plaintiff's counsel from which he was withdrawing as counsel, and suspected the deposition might not go forward.

In sum, over the course of this case, Plaintiff has repeatedly ignored the rules and various court orders and has made virtually no effort to prosecute her case—from failing to amend a deficient complaint, to failing to respond to a dispositive motion, to failing to appear for a deposition that was twice rescheduled to accommodate her.

The Ninth Circuit has held that courts must weigh five factors in determining whether to dismiss a case pursuant to Rule 41(b): (1) the public interest in expeditious resolution of the litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the policy favoring disposition of cases on the merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives.² Here, the balance of these factors weighs in favor of dismissal.

The first and second factors—the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation and the court's need to manage its own docket—weigh in favor of dismissal. The public interest in expeditious resolution of litigation always favors dismissal.³ The public interest is certainly not advanced where, as here, a plaintiff fails to respond to motions, fails to respond to court orders, and fails to engage in discovery. And with respect to the second factor, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that it must "preserve the district courts' power to manage their dockets without being subject to the endless vexatious non-compliance of litigants." This case has been pending for 15 months and remains unresolved, largely because of Plaintiff's lack of participation.

The third factor also favors dismissal. "A defendant suffers prejudice if the plaintiff's actions impair the defendant's ability to go to trial or threaten to interfere with the rightful decision of the case." Plaintiff's failure to respond to discovery, to motions, and to the Court's orders has caused delay, impacted Defendants' ability to adhere to

² Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992).

³ Yourish v. Cal. Amplifier, 191 F.3d 983, 990 (9th Cir. 1999).

⁴ *Id.* at 1261; *Link v. Wabash Railroad Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629-30 (1962) (a court's authority to dismiss for lack of prosecution is necessary to prevent undue delays in the disposition of pending cases and to avoid congestion in the district courts' calendars).

⁵ In re Phenylpropanolamine Products Liability Litig., 460 F.3d 1217, 1227 (9th Cir. 2006).

deadlines, and caused Defendants to incur additional costs and expenses defending this action.

The fourth factor weighs against dismissal, but only slightly. Generally the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits weighs strongly against dismissal.⁶ But this factor "lends little support to a [plaintiff] whose responsibility it is to move a case toward disposition on the merits but whose conduct impedes progress in that direction." Plaintiff has done little to move this case forward. And her failure to respond to Defendants' dispositive motion signals her disinterest in protecting her claims and moving them toward a resolution on the merits.

Although the Court could order sanctions or issue another order to show cause, the Court has already ordered issued several orders to show cause and still Plaintiff has been dilatory. It seems unlikely that Plaintiff will respond to any further orders.

Accordingly, Defendants ask this Court to dismiss Plaintiff's claims for failure to prosecute.

.DATED this 12th day of March, 2014.

RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE

By /s/ Lisa S. Wahlin
Lisa S. Wahlin
One North Central Avenue, Suite 1200
Phoenix, AZ 85004-4417
Attorneys for Defendants

⁶ Hernandez v. City of El Monte, 138 F.3d 393, 399 (9th Cir. 1998).

⁷ In re Phenylpropanolamine at 1228.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 12, 2014, I electronically transmitted the foregoing document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF system for filing and transmittal of Notice of Electronic filing to the following CM/ECF registrants:

Elmer Stewart Rhodes III
Law Office of Elmer Stewart Rhodes
432 E Idaho St., Ste. C231
Kalispell, MT 59901
Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ Darlene Dahl

From:

Wahlin, Lisa S.

Sent:

Tuesday, December 10, 2013 9:29 AM

To:

rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com

Subject:

Jones v. Town of Quartzsite, et al.; deposition of Jennifer Jones

Importance:

High

Stewart,

Are you withdrawing from Ms. Jones' case as well? Is her deposition going forward on Thursday? Please advise.

Thanks, Lisa



Lisa S. Wahlin Of Counsel

Ryley Carlock & Applewhite

One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone (602) 440-4892 | Fax (602) 257-6992 | lwahlin@rcalaw.com

View Bio | Download v-card | rcalaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender and delete this copy from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Sent: To: Subject:	rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com Tuesday, December 10, 2013 9:33 AM Wahlin, Lisa S. Re: Jones v. Town of Quartzsite, et al.; deposition of Jennifer Jones
	w from her case as well. Can we reschedule her for the same day in January as f so, let me know what day you prefer for her.
Thanks,	
Stewart	
On Tue, Dec 10, 2013 at 9:29 A	AM, Wahlin, Lisa S. < <u>LWahlin@rcalaw.com</u> > wrote:
Stewart,	
Are you withdrawing from Ms Please advise.	s. Jones' case as well? Is her deposition going forward on Thursday?
Thanks,	
Lisa	



Lisa S. Wahlin Of Counsel

Ryley Carlock & Applewhite

One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, AZ 85004

Phone (602) 440-4892 | Fax (602) 257-6992 | lwahlin@rcalaw.com

View Bio | Download v-card | rcalaw.com

From: Sent:	Wahlin, Lisa S. Tuesday, December 10, 2013 9:38 AM	
To:	rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com	
Cc:	Maul, Michele A.	
Subject:	RE: Jones v. Town of Quartzsite, et al.; deposition of Jennifer Jones	
	or could do them on back to back days, as we have them scheduled for now, that would be one of them on 1/22 and the other on 1/23.	
Thanks, Lisa		
	CARLOCK	
Lisa S. Wah	Attorneys lin	
Of Counsel		
602-440-48	392	
Sent To: \	n: rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com [mailto:rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com] : Tuesday, December 10, 2013 9:33 AM Wahlin, Lisa S. ect: Re: Jones v. Town of Quartzsite, et al.; deposition of Jennifer Jones	
	Yes, I will be filing to withdraw from her case as well. Can we reschedule her for the same day in January as Michael, or that same week? If so, let me know what day you prefer for her.	
Thar	nks,	
Stew	vart	
On T	Tue, Dec 10, 2013 at 9:29 AM, Wahlin, Lisa S. < <u>LWahlin@rcalaw.com</u> > wrote:	
Stev	vart,	
	you withdrawing from Ms. Jones' case as well? Is her deposition going forward on rsday? Please advise.	
Tha	nks,	
Lisa	1	



Lisa S. Wahlin Of Counsel

Ryley Carlock & Applewhite

One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone (602) 440-4892 | Fax (602) 257-6992 | lwahlin@rcalaw.com

View Bio | Download v-card | realaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender and delete this copy from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com

Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 9:40 AM

To: Wahlin, Lisa S.

Subject: Re: Jones v. Town of Quartzsite, et al.; deposition of Jennifer Jones

Will do. Whatever date Mr. Roth picks, you can schedule Mrs. Jones for the other.

Thank you,

Stewart

On Tue, Dec 10, 2013 at 9:38 AM, Wahlin, Lisa S. <<u>LWahlin@rcalaw.com</u>> wrote:

Yes. If they could do them on back to back days, as we have them scheduled for now, that would be great. So one of them on 1/22 and the other on 1/23.

Thanks,

Lisa



Lisa S. Wahlin

Of Counsel

602-440-4892

From: rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com [mailto:rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 10, 2013 9:33 AM

To: Wahlin, Lisa S.

Subject: Re: Jones v. Town of Quartzsite, et al.; deposition of Jennifer Jones

Yes, I will be filing to withdraw from her case as well. Can we reschedule her for the same day in January as Michael, or that same week? If so, let me know what day you prefer for her.

Τ	h	a	n!	k	s,

Stewart

On Tue, Dec 10, 2013 at 9:29 AM, Wahlin, Lisa S. < <u>LWahlin@rcalaw.com</u>> wrote:

Stewart,

Are you withdrawing from Ms. Jones' case as well? Is her deposition going forward on Thursday? Please advise.

Thanks,

Lisa



Lisa S. Wahlin Of Counsel

Ryley Carlock & Applewhite

One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, AZ 85004

Phone (602) 440-4892 | Fax (602) 257-6992 | <u>lwahlin@rcalaw.com</u>

View Bio | Download v-card | realaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender and delete this copy from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

President and Founder of Oath Keepers (702) 353-0627

From: Wahlin, Lisa S.

Sent: Monday, January 20, 2014 8:18 AM **To:** rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com

Cc: Dahl, Darlene

Subject: Jones v. Florian, et al.

Stewart,

I am just confirming that Plaintiff's deposition is still a go for this Wednesday, 1/22/14 at 9:00 a.m.

Thanks, Lisa

RYLEY CARLOCK & A P P L E W H I T E Attorneys

Lisa S. Wahlin Of Counsel

Ryley Carlock & Applewhite

One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone (602) 440-4892 | Fax (602) 257-6992 | Iwahlin@rcalaw.com

View Bio | Download v-card | rcalaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender and delete this copy from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com Tuesday, January 21, 2014 2:18 PM Wahlin, Lisa S. Dahl, Darlene Re: Jones v. Florian, et al.	
Lisa,		
	Jennifer Jones needs to cancel her deposition tomorrow and reschedule it a. She can be available anytime after February 1 - she expects to have her car we to Phoenix and be deposed.	
Please let me know what date y	you would like to reschedule the deposition for.	
Thank you,		
Elmer Rhodes		
On Mon, Jan 20, 2014 at 8:18 AM, Wahlin, Lisa S. < <u>LWahlin@rcalaw.com</u> > wrote: Stewart,		
I am just confirming that Plai	intiff's deposition is still a go for this Wednesday, 1/22/14 at 9:00 a.m.	
Thanks,		
Lisa		



Lisa S. Wahlin
Of Counsel
Ryley Carlock & Applewhite
One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, AZ 85004
Phone (602) 440-4892 | Fax (602) 257-6992 | lwahlin@rcalaw.com

View Bio | Download v-card | rcalaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender and delete this copy from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

Lisa

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject	t:	Wahlin, Lisa S. Tuesday, January 21, 2014 5:31 PM rhodeslegalwriting@gmail.com Dahl, Darlene RE: Jones v. Florian, et al.	
How a	bout March 3 at 9:00	a.m.?	
	EY CARLOCK P F L E W H I T E Autorneys		
Lisa S.	Wahlin		
Of Cou	unsel		
602-4	10-4892		
	From: rhodeslegalwriting Sent: Tuesday, January 2 To: Wahlin, Lisa S. Cc: Dahl, Darlene Subject: Re: Jones v. Flo		
	Lisa,		
	As we discussed on the phone, Jennifer Jones needs to cancel her deposition tomorrow and resche because her car is broken down. She can be available anytime after February 1 - she expects to ha car repaired by then so she can drive to Phoenix and be deposed.		
	Please let me know what date you would like to reschedule the deposition for.		
	Thank you,		
	Elmer Rhodes		
	On Mon, Jan 20, 2014 at 8:18 AM, Wahlin, Lisa S. < <u>LWahlin@rcalaw.com</u> > wrote:		
	Stewart,		
	I am just confirming that.m.	nat Plaintiff's deposition is still a go for this Wednesday, 1/22/14 at 9:00	
	Thanks,		



Lisa S. Wahlin Of Counsel

Ryley Carlock & Applewhite

One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone (602) 440-4892 | Fax (602) 257-6992 | wahlin@rcalaw.com

View Bio | Download v-card | rcalaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender and delete this copy from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

--

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Jennifer Marie Jones,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Town of Quartzsite; Jeffrey Gilbert and Sondra Gilbert, Husband and Wife; Officer Rick Paterson, #43; Officer Xavier Frausto, #15, and Terry Frausto, Husband and Wife; Denise Ann Florian; Individual Does I-X,

Defendants.

No. 12-cv-2629-PHX-LOA

REPORTER'S AFFIDAVIT OF NONAPPEARANCE

Phoenix, Arizona March 3, 2014 9:00 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Kellie L. Konicke, RPR AZ Certified Reporter No. 50223

PREPARED FOR: Superior Court (Original)



2398 East Camelback Road Suite 260 Phoenix, Arizona 85016

T 602.264.2230 888.529.9990 F 602.264.2245

1	REPORTER'S AFFIDAVIT OF NONAPPEARANCE
2	
3	I, KELLIE L. KONICKE, RPR, do hereby
4	declare as follows:
5	That pursuant to the request of Lisa S.
6	Wahlin, Esq., of Ryley Carlock & Applewhite,
7	One North Central Avenue, Suite 1200, Phoenix, Arizona,
8	on March 3, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., for the purpose of
9	placing under oath and reporting the deposition of
10	Jennifer Marie Jones; that there was present Lisa S.
11	Wahlin, Esq., of Ryley Carlock & Applewhite, attorney
12	for Defendants; and Kellie L. Konicke, RPR, Griffin &
13	Associates;
14	That the above-mentioned attorney and the
15	reporter remained at the address indicated until
1.6	approximately 9:30 a.m., by which time the
17	aforementioned had not appeared for the purpose of
18	having a deposition taken.
19	I declare under penalty of perjury that
20	the foregoing is true and accurate dated this 7th day of
21	March, 2014.
22	Laring of day he
23	Kellie L. Konicke, RPR
24	AZ Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50223

25